Team Work Products Being Evaluated: **Project 1 (Assignment #L3-B)**

1. Work Evaluated by Assessment Symbol/Color Date
2. Work Evaluated by Assessment Symbol/Color Date
3. Work Evaluated by Assessment Symbol/Color Date
4. Work Evaluated by Assessment Symbol/Color Date

**This Checklist** **must be completed (self assessed) before submit ion for assessment**

| **Yes** | **No** | **Expected Features** |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | The work meets expectations for the Presentation of Technical Work (the **checklist is included**) |
|  |  | The TOC has been updated to reflect the new work (page numbers are shown for all tasks and sub tasks) |
|  |  | There are at least 20 artifacts, 10 constraints (musts), and 10 desires (wants) |
|  |  | There is a final list of 6 to 10 possible artifacts and 3 or 4 musts and desires |
|  |  | There is **explicit** evidence of the team correctly using multi-voting |
|  |  | There is **explicit** evidence of the team using Osborn’s Checklist |
|  |  | There is **explicit** evidence of the team using Random Stimulation |
| **Comments on Expected Features and how any problems might be resolved** | | |

| **Wow** | **Ok** | **Weak** | **Revealed Features** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Rate the readability of the work (i.e., the level of communication "noise" which consists of grammatical, spelling, syntax, etc. errors that hinder communication) |
|  |  |  | Rate the quality of the notebook organization (e.g., use of unique page numbers, reference to other work in the notebook, etc.) |
|  |  |  | Rate the level of effort shown |
| ` |  |  | Rate the quality of the set of constraints generated (how well has Occam’s Razor been applied, i.e., the set of constraints is neither too restrictive nor too permissive) |
|  |  |  | Rate the quality of the Discussion (e.g., how good was the reflection) |

| **Yes** | **Exciting Features** |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |

**Results of Initial Assessment**

| **E** | **M** | **NI** | **NCE**  **NS** | **E**, **all** Yes’s for the Expected Features, **no** Weak’s for the Revealed Features, and **several** Wow’s and/or Exciting Features  **M**, **all** Yes’s for the Expected Features, **only a few** Weak’s for the Revealed Features  **NI**, **any** No’s for Expected Requirements or **mostly** Weak’s for the Revealed Features  **NCE**, there is little to no (**NS**) work to be assessed |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |

If the work was assessed as either **NI,** **NCE, or NS** you may, following the instructions given on the Resubmission of Work Checklist, improve the work and resubmit it for re-assessment by .